Rhybudd o Gynnig - AEI

Please ensure that you refer to the Screening Form Guidance while completing this form.

Which service area and directorate are you from?

Service Area: N/A

Directorate: All cabinet members

Q1 (a) What are you screening for relevance?

 New and revised policies, practices or procedures Service review, re-organisation or service changes/reductions, which affect the wider
community, service users and/or staff
Efficiency or saving proposals
Setting budget allocations for new financial year and strategic financial planning
New project proposals affecting staff, communities or accessibility to the built environment,
e.g., new construction work or adaptations to existing buildings, moving to on-line services,
changing location
Large Scale Public Events
Local implementation of National Strategy/Plans/Legislation
Strategic directive and intent, including those developed at Regional Partnership Boards and
Public Services Board, which impact on a public bodies functions
Medium to long term plans (for example, corporate plans, development plans, service delivery
and improvement plans)
Setting objectives (for example, well-being objectives, equality objectives, Welsh language
strategy)
Major procurement and commissioning decisions
Decisions that affect the ability (including external partners) to offer Welsh language
opportunities and services

Other Other

(b) Please name and fully <u>describe</u> initiative here:

Notice of Motion

This council deplores the statement by the ex chancellor Rishi Sunak that he has deliberately changed funding formulas to remove funding from deprived urban areas like Swansea and diverted them to leafy Tory held constituencies like Tunbridge Wells

His public admission that he has redirected funding away from deprived communities should be condemned.

We are already aware that funding formula changes have already been made to funding which previously came via the EU and now comes via the shared prosperity fund.

The formula has been changed, despite opposition from Swansea council and the WLGA to exclude 'need' from the calculation.

The new formula has resulted in a reduction of £35 per head for every person in the South West Wales region. The money has been diverted to communities in mid Wales.

This will mean communities in Swansea and the South West Wales region will lose £4.2m this year and £21m over the next 5 years.

We believe this to be unfair, unethical and contrary to the levelling up commitments made by U.K. government. It also mean local communities in South West Wales will be worse off as a result of the post Brexit funding arrangements despite many assurances to the contrary.

We call upon the Leader to write to the new Prime Minister to ask them to reverse the formula changes, and to commission an independent investigation into the claims made by Mr Sunak.

Q2 What is the potenti be positive (+) or n		n the following	: the impacts	s below could
	High Impact	Medium Impact	Low Impact	Needs further
				Investigation
Impact	+ -	+ -	+ -	
Children/young people (0-18)			\boxtimes	
Older people (50+)			\square	
Any other age group			\square	
Future Generations (yet to be bo	m)		\square	
Disability			\square	
Race (including refugees)			\square	
Asylum seekers			\square	
Gypsies & travellers			\square	
Religion or (non-)belief			\square	
Sex			\square	
Sexual Orientation			\square	
Gender reassignment			\square	
Welsh Language			\square	
Poverty/social exclusion			\square	
Carers (inc. young carers)			\square	

Community cohesion		\boxtimes	
Marriage & civil partnership		\square	
Pregnancy and maternity		\square	
Human Rights		\boxtimes	

Q3 What involvement has taken place/will you undertake e.g. engagement/consultation/co-productive approaches? Please provide details below – either of your activities or your reasons for not undertaking involvement

Not applicable for notice of motions

Have you considered the Well-being of Future Generations Act (Wales) Q4 2015 in the development of this initiative:

- a) Overall does the initiative support our Corporate Plan's Well-being Objectives when considered together? Yes 🖂
 - No
- b) Does the initiative consider maximising contribution to each of the seven national wellbeing goals? Yes 🖂 No
- c) Does the initiative apply each of the five ways of working? Yes 🖂 No
- d) Does the initiative meet the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs? Yes 🖂 No 🗌
- Q5 What is the potential risk of the initiative? (Consider the following impacts - equality, socio-economic, environmental, cultural, legal, financial, political, media, public perception etc...)

	High risk	K	Medium risk	Low risk
Q6	Will this in service?	itiative h	ave an impact (howeve	r minor) on any other Council
	Yes	N	o If yes, please pro	ovide details below
Q7	Will this in internal we		esult in any changes ne	eded to the external or
	Yes	🖂 N	o If yes, please pro	ovide details below

Q8 What is the cumulative impact of this proposal on people and/or communities when considering all the impacts identified within the screening and any other key decisions affecting similar groups/ service users made by the organisation?

(You may need to discuss this with your Service Head or Cabinet Member to consider more widely if this proposal will affect certain groups/ communities more adversely because of other decisions the organisation is making. For example, financial impact/poverty, withdrawal of multiple services and whether this is disadvantaging the same groups, e.g., disabled people, older people, single parents (who are mainly women), etc.)

The action of writing to the Prime Minister has a low impact and there the cumulative impact is low.

Outcome of Screening

- Q9 Please describe the outcome of your screening using the headings below:
 - Summary of impacts identified and mitigation needed (Q2)
 - Summary of involvement (Q3)
 - WFG considerations (Q4)
 - Any risks identified (Q5)
 - Cumulative impact (Q7)

There are low impacts associated with the actions proposed within this Notice of Motion.

(NB: This summary paragraph should be used in the **'Integrated Assessment Implications'** section of corporate report)

Full IIA to be completed

Do not complete IIA – please ensure you have provided the relevant information above to support this outcome

NB: Please email this completed form to the Access to Services Team for agreement before obtaining approval from your Head of Service. Head of Service approval is only required via email.

Screening completed by:
Name: Rhian Millar
Job title: Access to Services Manager
Date: 22/08/22
Approval by Head of Service:
Name: Tracey Meredith